



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,518	07/02/2003	John E. Lertzman	50578/C995	3534
23363 7590 09/05/2008 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068				
EXAMINER GOLDMAN, MICHAEL H				
ART UNIT 3688		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 09/05/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/612,518	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LERTZMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL H. GOLDMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3688	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL H. GOLDMAN.

(3) RAYMOND R. TABANDEH, Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP.

(2) JEAN D. JEANVIER Primary Examiner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sanchez and Schwarz, JR.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's arguments during the interview are found to be persuasive and hence, prosecution is going to be re-opened since Sanchez, the main reference, does not teach the claimed invention. A new Office Action will be drafted and mailed out shortly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M. G./	/Jean Janvier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688	08/29/08
---------	---	----------